

Direzione Ricerca e Trasferimento tecnologico

Definire proposte progettuali di successo in ambito Horizon 2020: il contributo di valutatori ed esperti d'area

Panel Societal Challenges: focus area Health

Giulia Curia — Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche, Metaboliche e Neuroscienze Modena, 29/11/2016

1) PROJECT EVALUATOR

FP7-HEALTH-2013-INNOVATION-1

2) REVIEWER

FP7-ICT-2013-C



Area: BRAIN

Topics:

Imaging tools

Paediatric conduct disorders

Epilepsy

Pain



Eligibility criteria:

Imaging tools

- Collaborative project: small or medium-scale integrating project
- MAX: 6.000.000 EUR
- EU contribution going to SME(s) shall be 30% or more of the total estimated EU contribution for the project as a whole

Paediatric conduct disorders

- Collaborative project: small or medium-scale integrating project
- MAX: 6.000.000 EUR
- EU contribution going to SME(s) shall be 15% or more of the total estimated EU contribution for the project as a whole

Epilepsy

- Collaborative project: small or medium-scale integrating project
- MAX: 12.000.000 EUR
- EU contribution going to SME(s) shall be 15% or more of the total estimated EU contribution for the project as a whole

Pain

- Collaborative project: small or medium-scale integrating project
- MAX: 6.000.000 EUR



Stage 1:

Outline proposals (6 pages)

2 evaluation criteria:

- S&T quality
- Impact

Evaluation:

- *Eligibility check by the Commission*
- Individual remote evaluation by experts
- Consensus meeting in Brussels and elaboration of consensus reports and score for all proposals
- Information sent to successful applicants and invitation to submit a full proposal
- *Information sent to unsuccessful applicants*

Only the best outline proposals go to stage 2



Stage 2:

Separate and independent evaluation from stage 1 (scores achieved by stage 1 outline proposal are not taken into account at stage 2 evaluation)

Full proposals

3 evaluation criteria:

- S&T quality
- Implementation
- Impact

Evaluation:

- *Eligibility check by the Commission*
- Individual remote evaluation by experts for each topic
- Consensus meeting in Brussels and elaboration of consensus reports for each topic
- Panel meeting to agree on ranked list of proposals for brain topics
- Information sent to proposers: selected for funding reserve list (if applicable) not selected



Evaluation Stage 2:

Criterion 1: S/T excellence

- Soundness of concept, and quality of objectives
- Progress beyond the stateof-the-art
- Quality and effectiveness of the S/T methodology and associated work plan

Criterion 2: Implementation

- Appropriateness of the management structure and procedures
- Quality and relevant experience of the individual participants
- Quality of the consortium as a whole (including complementarity, balance)
- Appropriateness of the allocation and justification of the resources to be committed (staff, equipment,...)

Overall score (Threshold: 3/5)

Criterion 3: Impact

- Contribution, at the European [and/or international] level, to the expected impacts listed in the work programme under relevant topic/activity
- Appropriateness of measures for the dissemination and/or exploitation of project results, and management of intellectual property

Overall score (Threshold: 3/5)

Overall score (Threshold: 4/5)



Evaluation - Stage 2

Threshold scores apply to each criterion and also to the total score awarded

	Minimum threshold
S/T quality	4/5
Implementation	3/5
Impact	3/5
Total score	12/15

0 – Not judicable/criterion not addressed

1 – Poor

2 – Fair

3 – Good

4 – Very good

5 – Excellent



Consensus meeting:

BRAIN

- 1) Imaging tools 15.0
- 2) Imaging tools 14.0
- 3) Imaging tools 14.0
- 4) Imaging tools 13.5
- 5) Imaging tools 12.5

- 1) Conduct disorders 15.0
- 2) Conduct disorders 15.0
- Conduct disorders 14.5
- 4) Conduct disorders 13.0
- 5) Conduct disorders 12.0
- 6) Conduct disorders 12.0

- 1) Epilepsy 13.5
- 2) Epilepsy 13.5
- 3) Epilepsy 13.0
- 4) Epilepsy 12.5
- 5) Epilepsy 12.5
- 6) Epilepsy 12.5

- 1) Pain 14.5
- 2) Pain 14.0
- 3) Pain 13.5
- 4) Pain 13.0
- 5) Pain 12.0



<u>Panel</u> <u>meeting:</u>

BRAIN

- 1) Imaging tools 15.0
- 2) Conduct disorders 15.0
- 3) Conduct disorders 15.0
- 4) Pain 14.5
- 5) Conduct disorders 14.5
- 6) Imaging tools 14.0
- 7) Pain 14.0
- 8) Imaging tools 14.0
- 9) Epilepsy 13.5
- 10) Epilepsy 13.5
- 11) Pain 13.5
- 12) Imaging tools 13.5
- 13) Epilepsy 13.0
- 14) Conduct disorders 13.0
- 15) Pain 13.0
- 16) Epilepsy 12.5
- 17) Epilepsy 12.5
- 18) Imaging tools 12.5
- 19) Epilepsy 12.5
- 20) Conduct disorders 12.0
- 21) Pain 12.0
- 22) Conduct disorders 12.0



Strategies:

STRATEGY 1

Participate to **COLLABORATIVE PROJECTS** (large-scale integrating project) involving consortium of more participants

STRATEGY 2

Involve SME (Small Medium Enterprise) for project management



1) PROJECT EVALUATOR

FP7-HEALTH-2013-INNOVATION-1

2) REVIEWER

FP7-ICT-2013-C



Project:

• Information and Communication Technologies

Collaborative project
6 participants
SME technology/project management

• 3 years project





Project:

Work Packages

WP1 Development of new tools (small parts)

WP2 Demonstration new tools work, in vitro exps

WP3 Development of prototype of the product

WP4 Management

WP5 Dissemination

- WP number and Title
- Start month/End month
- Objectives
- *Description of work and role of partners*
- *Person-Months per Participant*
- List of Deliverables
- Description of Deliverables
- *Schedule of relevant Milestones*



WP4

• WP title Management

• Start month 1

• End month 36

List of Deliverables

Deliverable Number	Deliverable Title	Lead Beneficiary number	Estimated indicative P/M	Nature	Dissemination level	Delivery date
D4.1	Minutes of kick-off meeting	•••	•••	•••	•••	М3
D4.2	Annual activity report #1	•••	•••	•••	•••	M12
D4.3	Annual activity report #2	•••	•••	•••	•••	M24
D4.4	Annual activity report #3					M36



<u>WP5</u>

• WP title Dissemination

• Start month 1

• End month 36

List of Deliverables


Deliverable Number	Deliverable Title	Lead Beneficiary number	Estimated indicative P/M	Nature	Dissemination level	Delivery date
D5.1	Website	•••	•••	•••	•••	М6
D5.2	Workshop	•••	•••	•••	•••	M22
D5.3	Start new collaboration	•••	•••	•••	•••	M30

Schedule of relevant Milestones

Milestone Number	Milestone name	Lead Beneficiary number	Delivery date	Comments
MS5	Publications of scientific results	•••	M36	Scientific papers, patents, conferences



Review meetings:





1st year review meeting:

• Reports due by M12

D4.1

- Due date: M3
- Delivery date: M3

D4.2 (annual report)

- Due date: M12
- Delivery date: M12

D5.1

- Due date: M6
- Delivery date: M14

MS1

- Due date: M9
- Delivery date: M12
- Financial information

Use of resources

2nd year review meeting:

• Reports due by M24

D4.3 (annual report)

- Due date: M24
- Delivery date: M24

D5.1

- Due date: M6
- Delivery date: M14


D5.2

- Due date: M22
- Delivery date: M22
- Financial information

Use of resources




1st year review meeting:






1st year review meeting:






What happened at the first review meetings:






What happened at the first review meetings:






What happened at the first review meetings:






What happened at the first review meetings:






Definire proposte progettuali di successo in ambito Horizon 2020: il contributo di valutatori ed esperti d'area Panel Societal Challenges: focus area Health

2nd year review meeting:






What happened at the second review meetings:



UNIMORE


Definire proposte progettuali di successo in ambito Horizon 2020: il contributo di valutatori ed esperti d'area Panel Societal Challenges: focus area Health

What happened at the second review meetings:






What happened at the second review meetings:





What happened at the second review meetings:





EU projects extension:

Oginal plan



Partners asked for 1 year extension



Partners obtained 6-months extension





EU projects extension:

Oginal plan



EU does not allow anymore 1 year extension to projects. Maximum extension duration allowed now is 6 months

Partners obtained 6-months extension





Definire proposte progettuali di successo in ambito Horizon 2020: il contributo di valutatori ed esperti d'area Panel Societal Challenges: focus area Health

STRATEGY 1

Apply to call outside the HEALTH area

STRATEGY 2

Collaborative project has to be really COLLABORATIVE



STRATEGY 3

Accurately write reports and deliverables

STRATEGY 4

Entrust project management to a SME with specific experience in EU project management



STRATEGY 5

Carefully choose and manage TIME schedule

- Project begin not around holidays/vacations
- Any delay in deliverables cause delay in reviewers reports
- Delay caused by 1 partner affects ALL partners



Thank you very much for your attention

